Tale of the Huanglongbing Disease Pyramid in the Context of the Citrus Microbiome
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ABSTRACT

The Huanglongbing (HLB) disease pyramid is composed of Liberibacters, psyllid vectors, citrus hosts, and the environment. The epidemiological outcomes for Liberibacter-associated plant diseases are collectively determined by the inherent relationships among plant–Liberibacter–psyllid interactions. Citrus–Liberibacter–psyllid interactions occur in a complex microbiome system. In this review, we focus on the progress in understanding the HLB disease pyramid, and how the microbiome affects the HLB disease pyramid including the interaction between HLB and the citrus microbiome; the interaction between Liberibacters and psyllids; the interaction between Liberibacters and gut microbiota in psyllids; and the effect of HLB on selected above- and belowground citrus pathogens. Their implications for HLB management are also discussed.


Citrus Huanglongbing (HLB, citrus greening) is a devastating bacterial disease affecting citrus production worldwide. The HLB disease pyramid is composed of Liberibacters, psyllid vectors, citrus hosts, and the environment. The HLB-associated Liberibacters are phloem-limited fastidious α-Proteobacteria and consist of ‘Candidatus Liberibacter asiaticus’ (Las), ‘Ca. L. africanus’ (Laf), and ‘Ca. L. americanus’ (Lam) (Teixeira et al. 2005; Garnier et al. 2000; Jagoueix et al. 1994). Las, Laf, and Lam live either inside the phloem of plant hosts or inside citrus psyllids such as Asian citrus psyllid (Diaphorina citri) and African citrus psyllid (Trioza erytreae) (Bové 2006) and cannot live freely outside of their hosts. The epidemiological outcomes for Liberibacter-associated plant diseases are collectively determined by the inherent relationships between plant Liberibacters and psyllids, and how various environmental factors affect citrus–Liberibacter–psyllid interactions. Citrus–Liberibacter–psyllid interactions occur in a complex microbiome system (Fig. 1). Here, we review the progress in understanding the interactions among the different components of the HLB disease pyramid and how the microbiome affects the HLB disease pyramid. We intend to circumvent the areas related to the HLB disease pyramid that have been reviewed extensively, e.g., Las and plant interactions, as presented in several recent reviews (Bové 2006; da Graça et al. 2016; Gottwald 2010; Grafton-Cardwell et al. 2013; Wang and Trivedi 2013). Instead, we intend to focus on the direct or indirect reciprocal interactions between Liberibacters and psyllids and their effects on the citrus hosts that have not been covered extensively. In addition, we will examine how HLB affects the citrus microbiome; how microbes affect HLB disease development; the interactions between Liberibacters and gut microbiota in psyllids; and the effect of HLB on selected above- and belowground citrus pathogens. Their implications for HLB management are also discussed.

EFFECT OF HLB ON THE CITRUS MICROBIOME

There are a myriad of microorganisms, which mostly include bacteria, archaea, and fungi, colonizing proximal to, on and within plant tissues. Depending on their habitats, the assembled microbial communities are called the rhizosphere microbiome, rhizoplane microbiome, endosphere microbiome and phyllosphere microbiome, respectively (Turner et al. 2013; Vandenkooornhuyse et al. 2015; Wang et al. 2015). Plants provide niches, e.g., phyllosphere and rhizosphere, for the microbiomes, and in turn, they profit from the microbiome-encoded functions, such as phytohormone production, nitrogen fixation, phosphate solubilization, and antibiotic production, resulting in improved growth,
Microbial communities in the rhizosphere and endosphere of citrus trees are critically important for plant health and productivity. The Huanglongbing (HLB) disease, caused by the bacterium *Candidatus Liberibacter asiaticus* (Las), dramatically alters the microbiome composition in the rhizosphere, indicating a significant shift in the bacterial community structure (Trivedi et al. 2012). Shotgun metagenomics analysis of the citrus rhizosphere microbiome has revealed a decrease in the abundance of multiple bacterial genera compared with the bulk soil bacterial community. Actinobacteria and Acidobacteria are decreased in relative abundance compared with bulk soil, whereas Proteobacteria are more abundant. The methodological difference between the two studies also contributed to the observed difference since assembly-based shotgun metagenomics provides higher accuracy for taxonomic detection than 16S rDNA-based analysis (Ranjan et al. 2016). When investigated at higher resolution (i.e., at the genus level), both studies consistently demonstrate an HLB-associated decrease in the relative abundance of multiple bacterial genera including *Burkholderia*, *Rhodobacter*, *Sphingobium*, and *Sphingomonas* (Trivedi et al. 2012) (Y. Zhang and N. Wang, unpublished data). Besides disruption of the bacterial community structure, QPCR and GeoChip 3.0 reveal key processes, including carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus uptake by the citrus microbiome, and how various environment factors affect plant–microbe interactions (e.g., secretion systems, bacterial motility, and chemotaxis) are decreased in relative abundance and expression activity in the rhizosphere microbiome of HLB-diseased trees is decreased compared with healthy counterparts (Y. Zhang and N. Wang, unpublished data).

**FIGURE 1**

The Huanglongbing (HLB) disease pyramid in the context of citrus microbiome. The HLB disease pyramid is composed of Liberibacters, psyllid vectors, plant hosts (i.e., citrus), and the environment. The HLB causing Liberibacters, e.g., *Candidatus Liberibacter asiaticus* (Las) (Courtesy of S. Y. Folimonova and D. Achor, Citrus Research and Education Center [CREC], University of Florida [UF]), are phloem-limited and systemically distributed in planta (e.g., phloems in the leaf, stem, and roots) and transmitted by Asian citrus psyllid (*Diaphorina citri*) and African citrus psyllid (*Trioza erytreae*). Las interacts with other members of the gut microbiota (courtesy of M. Davis, CREC, UF) of psyllids (courtesy of M. Rogers, CREC, UF). The epidemiological outcomes for Liberibacter-associated plant diseases are collectively determined by the inherent relationships between plant–Liberibacters–psyllids, and how various environment factors affect plant–Liberibacter–psyllid interactions. The citrus–Liberibacter–psyllid interactions occur in a complex microbiome system. The plant microbiome consists of microorganisms (e.g., bacteria and fungi) in the phyllosphere (aboveground portions of plants): the rhizosphere (the area surrounding the plant root), the rhizoplane (the root-soil interface), and the endosphere (internal compartments).
Rhizoplane. The rhizoplane is the interface linking the rhizosphere and endosphere microhabitats, and plays a critical role in controlling microbe entry into root tissues. The microbial community colonizing the rhizoplane has a more intimate relationship and is subjected to much higher selection pressures by the host root compared with the rhizosphere microbiome (Reinhold-Hurek et al. 2015). The rhizosphere to rhizoplane enrichment process probably reflects the host’s preference and successful adaption of the enriched microorganisms. Zhang et al. performed a metagenomic and metatranscriptomic study to identify the citrus rhizoplane enriched taxonomic and functional properties and how HLB affected the enriched community (Y. Zhang and N. Wang, unpublished data). Compared with the citrus rhizosphere microbiome, the rhizoplane microbiome is much higher in relative abundance of beneficial taxa including Bradyrhizobium, Burkholderia, Variovorax, Caulobacter, Bdellovibrio, Chryseobacterium, and Dyadobacter. Higher relative abundance in the rhizoplane microbiome compared with the rhizosphere microbiome is also observed for multiple critical functional properties involved in host-microbe and microbe–microbe interactions, including flagella and pilus assembly, chemotaxis, and bacterial secretion systems and associated effectors. Almost all of the rhizoplane enriched genera are in relatively higher abundance in healthy compared with HLB-diseased rhizoplane samples. Most of the rhizoplane enriched functional properties involved in host–microbe and microbe–microbe interactions are significantly lower in relative abundance and expression activity in the HLB rhizoplane compared with healthy rhizoplane, indicative of impaired rhizoplane microbiome–host interactions in HLB-diseased trees.

Endosphere. Certain microorganisms of the rhizoplane microbiome enter and inhabit the endosphere (internal root tissues). The endosphere microbiome has direct contact with plant cells (Reinhold-Hurek et al. 2015; Santoyo et al. 2016). The host can profit from endophyte-encoded functions, particularly growth promotion and immune system modulation (Santoyo et al. 2016). Bacterial strains isolated from healthy citrus roots, including Burkholderia, Pantoaea, Variovorax, Bacillus, Brevibacillus, and Paenibacillus, possess plant growth promotion traits, including phosphorus solubilization, siderophore production, nitrogen fixation, production of antibiotics, increased chitinase or salicylic acid activity, or indole acetic acid synthesis (Trivedi et al. 2011). The relative abundance of the taxa detected in healthy samples is decreased in HLB trees, while taxa rarely found in a healthy citrus endosphere microbiome, such as Methylobacterium, Sphingobacterium, and Staphylococcus, are relatively abundant in the HLB root endosphere microbiome (Trivedi et al. 2010, 2011). Importantly, beneficial traits involved in plant growth promotion and pathogen antagonism encoded by the endosphere microbiome are reduced by HLB (Trivedi et al. 2010).

Phyllosphere. The microorganisms inhabiting the phyllosphere are extremely nutrient-limited and exposed to rapid and pronounced environmental changes (Lindow and Brandl 2003). These microorganisms are from variable inoculum sources, including aerosols, insects, and soil, which make the assembled phyllosphere microbiome much more diverse than the microbiome in the rhizosphere (Bodenhausen et al. 2013; Maignien et al. 2014; Vorholt 2012). The phyllosphere microbiome plays an important role for host protection and productivity (Ritpitakphong et al. 2016; Vorholt 2012). The role of the citrus phyllosphere microbiome remains elusive. HLB affects the microbial community composition of leaf midribs even though the mechanism underlying the changes and how HLB affects the overall phyllosphere microbiome remain unknown (Sagaram et al. 2009).

EFFECT OF CITRUS MICROBIOME ON HLB DEVELOPMENT

The plant microbiome affects plant health and productivity (Berg et al. 2014; Schlaeppi and Bulgarelli 2015; Turner et al. 2013). Many microbes are known to induce or prime plant defenses against a broad range of pathogens and insect herbivores (Maksimov et al. 2011; Pieterse et al. 2014). Additionally, the plant microbiome is a crucial player in global biogeochemical cycles, participating significantly in biochemical cycling of photoassimilates (Turner et al. 2013). Plant-associated microbes can have positive effects on plant growth. Among soil microbes, plant growth promoting bacteria are commonly associated with plants and present in most environments (Glick 2012). Soil fungi have also been reported to influence pathogen levels and play a significant role in improving plant health, e.g., Trichoderma spp. and mycorrhizal fungi (Blaya et al. 2013; Graham 2008; Graham et al. 1995). Beneficial microbes have been suggested to contribute to reducing, but not stopping, Las infection and associated symptom development of Las-infected trees by either inducing plant defense against Las or D. citri (N. Wang, unpublished data). Manipulation of root microorganisms via application of microbial products has been used to manage HLB. Preliminary results suggest that manipulation of the soil microbes has no effect on HLB disease control once the infected trees become severely symptomatic, whereas some beneficial microbes reduce, but do not prevent Las infection when applied on healthy, asymptomatic trees or symptomatic trees at the early stage of infection (N. Wang, unpublished data).

LAS—D. CITRI INTERACTIONS AND ASSOCIATED IMPACT ON HLB

D. citri, the insect vector of Las, is an essential component of the HLB disease pyramid. The interactions between Las and D. citri include both direct and indirect reciprocal interactions through their effects on the citrus hosts.

Indirect effects of Las via plant responses on host selection by D. citri. Insects integrate many competing infochemicals emitted by plants to locate their hosts (Dicke 2000). In search of a suitable host, insect herbivores evaluate the quality of a potential host versus surrounding competitors. D. citri females are attracted to volatiles emitted by citrus leaves damaged by conspecific psyllid feeding damage. Feeding by D. citri on citrus induces release of methyl salicylate (MeSA) (Mann et al. 2012). MeSA alone attracts D. citri at the specific dosage released by psyllid-damaged plants (Mann et al. 2012). The benefit of attraction to damaged plants by D. citri females might be related to the requirement for multiple matings to maintain optimal fertility and fecundity (Wenninger and Hall 2008). Consistently finding males for mating is necessary and female D. citri may take advantage of plants that emit damage-induced volatiles because it facilitates host detection (Halitschke et al. 2008) and mate finding. 

Las affects D. citri indirectly by activation of the salicylic acid (SA) pathway that regulates systemic acquired resistance against a wide variety of invading pathogens (Thaler et al. 2010; Walling 2000). The activation of the SA pathway probably results from recognition of the pathogen-associated molecular patterns, e.g., lipopolysaccharides and flagella, of Las even though the mechanistic understanding of the process remains unknown (Wang and Trivedi 2013). It is noteworthy that Las mitigates the negative effect of SA on itself (e.g., inducing plant defenses) by encoding one SA hydroxylase, which degrades SA (J. Li and N. Wang, unpublished data). Activation of SA resistance can suppress jasmonic acid (JA) signaling, compromising induced defense responses by plants to herbivore attack (Bostock 2005; Walters and Heil 2007). Induction of SA-regulated defenses by herbivorous insects can reduce JA-regulated defenses thus constraining development of immature stages (Zarate et al. 2006). Reduced JA-regulated defense may benefit D. citri nymph development and could explain why damaged citrus releasing MeSA are more attractive to D. citri than to nondamaged plants (Mann et al. 2012). Following brief feeding on Las-infected plants, D. citri disperse to uninfected plants possibly because of the lower nutritional
quality of Las-infected plants compared with uninfected counterparts (Mann et al. 2012). It was suggested that this initial attraction to Las-infected plants followed by dispersal toward uninfected counterparts, the “deceptive host phenotype” hypothesis (Mauck et al. 2010), may help the pathogen to spread among plant hosts. Recently, this hypothesis was tested and supported (Martini et al. 2016). Biotic stressors, such as herbivore damage (Turlings and Wäckers 2004) or pathogen infection (Mann et al. 2012; Mas et al. 2014), induce both quantitative and qualitative changes in the bouquets of volatiles released by plants. These volatiles often attract natural enemies (Martini et al. 2014; Turlings and Wäckers 2004). Genetic modification of plants for the purpose of manipulating these volatiles to indirectly affect behavior of both pest and beneficial insects is gaining more attention (Kos et al. 2013). Also, lures that mimic induced volatiles can increase attraction of natural enemies to pests and can be used for pest management (Kelly et al. 2014).

**Practical implications Las effects on host selection by D. citri for disease management.** One important chemical communication pathway that has not been the subject of artificial manipulation is the indirect communication between plant pathogens and their vectors. Disruption of vector sensory systems with pathogen-induced volatiles may have practical application. Recently, Anfora et al. (2014) demonstrated that it is possible to disrupt host selection by *Phthorimaea operculnea* Zeller (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) with host plant volatiles. A possible control strategy for management of the *D. citri*–HLB pathosystem is to manipulate behavior of the vector by deploying semiochemicals that mimic Las-infected citrus odors. It may also be possible to use these odors to disrupt *D. citri* host finding behavior. This could decrease the arrival of vectors to Las-infected citrus trees and possibly decrease the transmission process. Also, odors attached to monitoring traps may improve detection of *D. citri* populations (Aksenen et al. 2014; Coutinho-Abreu et al. 2014).

**Direct and indirect effects of Las on movement of D. citri.** There are both direct and indirect effects of Las on the movement behavior of *D. citri*. Las acquisition changes inclination for dispersal, flight capacity, and sexual attraction of *D. citri* (Martini et al. 2015). Ultimately, these effects increase the movement of *D. citri* that harbor the pathogen compared with uninfected counterparts, which may promote spread of Las by *D. citri* following pathogen acquisition.

The increase of short-distance dispersal by *D. citri* is likely to favor multiple inoculations of the same citrus host at different locations, whereas an increase in long-distance flights by *D. citri* compared with uninfected controls; however, the duration and the velocity of the recorded long flights are not affected following acquisition of the pathogen (Martini et al. 2015). Therefore, manipulation of long- and short-range dispersal of the vector by the pathogen may be limited by physiological flight capacity of *D. citri* (Martini et al. 2015).

**Direct effects of Las on reproduction of D. citri.** *D. citri* acquires Las primarily at the nymph stage of development (Inoue et al. 2009; Pelz-Stelinski et al. 2010). Occurrence of both transovarial (mother to offspring) (Pelz-Stelinski et al. 2010) and horizontal sexual transmission of Las (Mann et al. 2012) among *D. citri* suggests a close and long-developed relationship between the vector and pathogen. Furthermore, Las is persistently transmitted and capable of colonizing a majority of psyllid tissues, including the reproductive organs (Ammar et al. 2011). Finally, transmission of Las by adults occurs mainly after the pathogen is initially acquired by nymphs, rather than by adults (Ammar et al. 2015). Based on our current understanding of Las transmission, the direct impacts of Las on *D. citri* reproduction may critically impact how we manage HLB in the field.

Pelz-Stelinski and Killiny (2016) investigated how acquisition of Las may affect the fitness of *D. citri* given what appears to be a close evolutionary relationship between the vector and pathogen. Acquisition of Las reduced the lifespan of the vectors; however, fecundity of infected *D. citri* was greater than of uninfected counterparts (Pelz-Stelinski and Killiny 2016). This caused a higher overall finite rate of increase of *D. citri* reproductive output, and therefore fitness, among infected *D. citri* populations compared with uninfected counterparts, despite the associated negative effect on adult survival (Pelz-Stelinski and Killiny 2016). These results suggest that populations of *D. citri* likely increase more rapidly following acquisition of Las than those psyllid populations with lack of exposure to the pathogen.

Acquisition of Las does appear to cause higher mortality of adult *D. citri* compared with uninfected counterparts (Pelz-Stelinski and Killiny 2016). However, mortality of *D. citri* nymphs was not affected by acquisition of Las (Pelz-Stelinski and Killiny 2016). The negative impact on adult survival may be a direct result of the physiological costs associated with multiplication of the bacteria within *D. citri* (Ammar et al. 2011). Propagative pathogens can have metabolic or immune costs associated with multiplication (Fletcher et al. 1998). Therefore, a physiological trade-off between reproduction and lifespan has been postulated in the Las–*D. citri* pathosystem (Pelz-Stelinski and Killiny 2016).

**Indirect effects of Las on reproduction of D. citri.** Las infection increases attractiveness of *D. citri* females to conspecific males (Martini et al. 2015). The possible impact of pathogen infection on sexual behavior of *D. citri* is less obvious regarding its possible consequences for pathogen spread. This behavioral change may result in a higher rate of pathogen transmission during copulation (Mann et al. 2011). Even if the rate of transmission of Las through mating is low (Mann et al. 2011), it is likely that the probability of sexual transmission would increase with the infection level of the conspecific partner. Therefore, sex-related transmission during mating among *D. citri* is likely to increase proportionally with the bacterial titer found within psyllids. Furthermore, females with higher titers of Las perform longer duration flights at a greater frequency than females with lower titers (Martini et al. 2015). Therefore, highly infected females appear more likely to explore new hosts. Given the greater attractiveness of these females to males, there may be a greater chance not only to infect a new host, but also to establish a new colony of *D. citri* that will become vectors of Las following acquisition from infected plants compared with uninfected counterparts (Martini et al. 2015).

**Practical implications of Las effects on D. citri movement and reproduction for disease management.** The effects of Las on movement, fertility and fecundity of *D. citri* will also influence disease epidemiology. Increased vector movement following acquisition should increase subsequent pathogen transmission. Long lifespans may impact vector capacity, by altering the lifetime transmission potential of the vector (Cook et al. 2008). Long lifespans facilitate more pathogen transmission, while shorter lifespans diminish the window during which transmission occurs.

The production of more offspring in response to pathogen infection can increase fitness of *D. citri* populations, concomitantly increasing spread of Las by highly mobile vectors. Infected trees may contribute more to spread of the pathogen than by only serving as sources of inoculum for the vector. Increased fecundity of infected *D. citri* may contribute to increased transmission because of greater vector population size within heavily infected areas. Given that population growth of the *D. citri* vector may be higher in areas harboring widely accessible inoculum, removal of infected host plants (Bové 2006) continues to be a logical recommendation for HLB management. Further behavioral investigations in the field as well as epidemiological modeling are warranted to determine how these potential behavioral and reproductive modifications may influence the spread of HLB. Unfortunately, in Florida where nearly
INTERACTION BETWEEN LAS AND PSYLLID MICROBIOTA

Las is also a component of the psyllid microbiota (Fig. 1). Its interactions with *D. citri* are influenced by other members of the psyllid microbiota. In addition, psyllid microbiota, including *Wolbachia* spp. *Carsonella ruddii* and ‘Candidatus Proftella armatura’ (Nakabachi et al. 2013a), can potentially serve as donors for horizontal gene transfer for Las during its evolution, e.g., *lysE* gene in Las has been proposed to be acquired from ‘Ca. P. armatura’ via horizontal gene transfer (Nakabachi et al. 2013a).

Phloem feeding insects such as psyllids rely on a diet that is largely deficient in amino acids (Sandström and Moran 1999). To counter this, *D. citri* and other insects have adapted through the incorporation of intracellular, symbiotic bacteria which supplement their nutritional needs in exchange for permanent residence in specialized bundles of cells called bacteriomes (Baumann et al. 1995). *D. citri* harbor a primary symbiont, the vertically transmitted ‘Ca. Carsonella ruddii’ (Nachappa et al. 2011; Thao et al. 2000), and a secondary symbiont, ‘Ca. P. armatura’ (Nakabachi et al. 2013b), within these cells. Subandiyah et al. (2000) identified an additional endosymbiont, *Arsenophonus* spp., in Indonesian *D. citri*; however, this endosymbiont has not been confirmed in subsequent analyses. Sequencing analysis of *Carsonella*, a nonculturable alphaproteobacterium, by Nakabachi et al. (2006) demonstrated that its genome was small enough to consider the symbiont an ‘organelle-like’ structure with genes related to amino acid biosynthesis being more prominent than those considered essential for life.

While endosymbionts are commonly found to provide metabolic influences through their associations, other roles may include effects on pathogen acquisition and/or transmission. Obligate or facultative symbionts may also assist in defense (Brownlie and Stelinski 2015). Inoculum removal is no longer a viable management option.

EFFECT OF HLB ON SELECTED ABOVE- AND BELOWGROUND CITRUS PATHOGENS

HLB is a chronic, progressive decline disease caused by the systemic pathogens *Las*, *Laf*, and *Lam*, which takes long time to develop (Gottwald 2010). The gradual changes occurring in Liberibacter-infected trees including tree vigor decline, carbon loss, and decrease in plant defenses complicate citrus interactions with other pathogenic microorganisms of the citrus microbiome besides the aforementioned effects on the citrus microbiome. Here we will present how Las infection of citrus affects the interactions between citrus and other above- and belowground citrus pathogens including *Xanthomonas citri*, *Phytophthora*, and *Colletotrichum acutatum*.

**Las–X. citri interaction.** Las infection increases host susceptibility to X. *citri*. The increased susceptibility of Las-infected citrus to X. *citri* infection might result from the degradation of SA by the Las SA hydrolase (J. Li and N. Wang, unpublished data). SA is critical for SAR to subsequent infection by the original pathogen or by a variety of other pathogens (Bowling et al. 1994; Fu and Dong 2013; Lawton et al. 1995). The fact that Las increases susceptibility to X. *citri* further complicates disease management issues in citrus producing areas. X. *citri* infects most commercially grown citrus varieties, including sweet orange, grapefruit and lemon [ *C. limon* (L.) Burm. f.]. For the top three citrus producers, Brazil, China, and the United States, both HLB and citrus canker are present, which warrants an integrated pest management approach for both citrus HLB and canker diseases (J. Li and N. Wang, unpublished data).

**Las–Phytophthora interaction.** Root sampling of Las-infected trees in Florida orchards demonstrates that root dieback occurs before visible HLB symptoms in the canopy (Graham et al. 2013). Asymptomatic trees with detectable Las in fibrous roots already
have massive fibrous root loss (Johnson et al. 2014). The order of magnitude of root loss due to HLB is equal to or greater than 30% in surveys of both young trees (3 to 4 years old) and older trees (10 to 25 years old) (Graham et al. 2013). In some locations, higher *Phytophthora nicotianae* per root, as well as *Phytophthora* populations per cubic centimeter of soil, are detected on Las (+) compared with Las (−) trees.

Studies in Taiwan (Ann et al. 2004) and Florida (Wu et al. 2015) suggest that increasing incidence of HLB in citrus orchards in the presence of *Phytophthora nicotianae* may have a greater impact on fibrous root health than that caused by Las pathogenicity alone. Las-induced predisposition to *Phytophthora nicotianae* is apparently caused by a greater attraction of swimming zoospores to roots, acceleration of infection, and less resistance to root invasion. Ultimately, the Las–*Phytophthora* interaction may not promote more severe root damage than Las alone, but accelerate root turnover (Wu et al. 2015). *Phytophthora* propagulates per soil volume and per root fluctuate in response to fibrous root density based on rhizosphere soil sampling. Survey data from Florida orchards also suggests a resistance-breaking interaction of Las with *Phytophthora* spp. (Graham et al. 2015b). Because statewide survey of *Phytophthora* spp. spans over 2 decades and covers all production areas, the results serve as an indicator of emerging root disease trends. Comparison of the survey data between seasons since HLB became widespread in Florida orchards shows a strong trend toward higher incidence of damaging *Phytophthora* populations coincident with the rise in HLB incidence. More recently there has been a strong downturn in *Phytophthora* spp. populations apparently associated with a loss of fibrous root density as trees continue to decline from HLB.

A series of greenhouse studies with potted seedlings investigated the interaction between Las and *Phytophthora nicotianae* (Wu et al. 2015). The results demonstrate the following: (i) Las infection of citrus rootstocks predisposes fibrous roots to *Phytophthora nicotianae* infection by increasing root leakage of exudates that attract zoospores and by disrupting host resistance (i.e., carbohydrate-mediated defense); (ii) the combination of Las and *Phytophthora nicotianae* does not cause greater damage than each pathogen alone; (iii) the interaction between *Phytophthora nicotianae* and Las on fibrous root damage is mediated by available young fibrous root biomass. More in-depth examination of the interaction between Las and *Phytophthora nicotianae*, reveals different disease causation mechanisms for each root pathogen. Las damages fibrous roots by inducing faster growth of replacement roots and root turnover (Johnson et al. 2015); *Phytophthora nicotianae* damages fibrous roots by causing rapid root collapse immediately after infection; canopy development is reduced after root damage by both pathogens. To explore disease development from the perspective of root carbohydrate metabolism responses to Las and *Phytophthora nicotianae*, sucrose metabolism related gene expression is under investigation in two rootstocks, Cleopatra mandarin (*Citrus reticulata*), susceptible to *Phytophthora nicotianae*, and Swingle citrumelo (*Poncirus trifoliata* × *C. paradisi*), tolerant to *Phytophthora nicotianae*. The results show that sucrose metabolism is more disrupted by Las and *Phytophthora nicotianae* for *Phytophthora* susceptible Cleopatra mandarin than for Swingle citrumelo.

The most important root stress identified in Florida orchards is bicarbonates in irrigation water and over liming of soil with dolomite which directly reduce the ability of trifoliate hybrid rootstocks, Swingle citrumelo and Carrizo citrange (*Poncirus trifoliata* × *C. sinensis*), to take up important nutrients (Ca, Mg, Zn, Mn, and Fe). Bicarbonates and associated elevated pH have also been identified as a major factor predisposing roots to infection and damage by Las and *Phytophthora* spp. (Graham et al. 2015a). Past research experiences and current *Phytophthora* data trends indicate a need for more comprehensive management of HLB-affected trees.

Fibrous root health is fundamental to sustain soil, water, and nutrient uptake; tolerance of marginal soils; fluctuations in soil moisture; root pests; and other adverse conditions. Symptoms of stress intolerance are off-colored foliage and excessive leaf and fruit drop of HLB-affected trees, even when trees have been managed under intensive nutritional programs for several seasons. Fungicide control of *Phytophthora nicotianae* slows infection of the root system. However, preliminary data indicate that fungicides are reduced in their effectiveness for control of *Phytophthora* spp. and prevention of root loss because Las infection is the major contributor to damage of co-infected roots (Johnson et al. 2015).

**Las–postbloom fruit drop interaction.** Postbloom fruit drop, caused by the fungus *Colletotrichum acutatum*, affects all species and cultivars of citrus, but severity on a given cultivar varies according to the time of bloom in relation to rainfall (Peres et al. 2016). Between flowering periods, the inoculum survives as dormant infections on persistent calyces, leaves, and twigs. When flowers first open, fungal appressoria germinate and form a small number of conidiospores. Conidia are rain splash dispersed to the open flowers where they are able to germinate, infect, and produce many more spores. The new spores are then dispersed to the next open flowers by subsequent rainfall events. Infection occurs within 24 h of a weather event and symptoms appear in 4 to 5 days. At the end of bloom, the fungus causes the young fruitlets to abscise, leaving the persistent calyces.

In the last three seasons, postbloom fruit drop has caused widespread losses in Florida orchards. Multiple factors have contributed to the outbreaks, but the most likely factors are the extended bloom caused by HLB and warm winters. Extended bloom allows for inoculum to build up prior to the major bloom. While windblown rain is the main means of inoculum spread, orchards and cultivars with extended blooms, multiple blooms, or off-season blooms tend to have more problems than where the bloom period is restricted. Considering the potential for HLB trees to have extended bloom periods and rain during flowering, timing of fungicide sprays is more complicated than in the past. Sprays should be targeted to the major bloom and timing of applications determined by the use of the postbloom fruit drop–fungicide application decision (PFD-FAD) system (http://pfdf.ifas.ufl.edu).

**CONCLUDING REMARKS**

HLB associating Liberibacters are weak pathogens that could not live freely outside of their hosts and rely on their psyllid vectors for transformation. However, their association with psyllid vectors makes them formidable foes for the commercial citrus industry. In addition, plant–Liberibacter–psyllid interactions occur in the context of the citrus microbiome and their outcome is affected by other components of the microbe. Successful management of HLB requires a thorough understanding of the interactions of each of the components of the HLB disease pyramid, their reciprocal interactions, and their reciprocal interactions with other components of the microbiome.
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